CT-based study of vertebral and intravertebral rotation in right thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis

Rob C. Brink · Jelle F. Homans · Tom P. C. Schlösser · Marijn van Stralen · Koen L. Vincken · Lin Shi · Winnie C. W. Chu · Max A. Viergever · René M. Castelein · Jack C. Y. Cheng

Received: 21 January 2019 / Accepted: 3 September 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Purpose To define the longitudinal rotation axis around which individual vertebrae rotate, and to establish the various extra- and intravertebral rotation patterns in thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) patients, for better understanding of the 3D development of the rotational deformity.

Methods Seventy high-resolution CT scans from an existing database of thoracic AIS patients (Cobb angle: 46°–109°) were included to determine the vertebral axial rotation, rotation radius, intravertebral axial rotation, and local mechanical torsion for each spinal level, using previously validated image processing techniques.

Results For all levels, the longitudinal rotation axis, from which the vertebrae rotate away from the midline, was localized posterior to the spine. The axis became closer to the spine at the apex: apex, \( r = 11.5 \pm 5.1 \) cm versus two levels above (radius = 15.8 ± 8.5 cm; \( p < 0.001 \)) and beneath (radius = 14.2 ± 8.2 cm; \( p < 0.001 \)). The vertebral axial rotation, intravertebral axial rotation, and local mechanical torsion of the vertebral bodies were largest at the apex (21.9° ± 7.4°, 8.7° ± 13.5° and 3.0° ± 2.5°) and decreased toward the neutral, junctional zones (\( p < 0.001 \)).

Conclusion In AIS, the vertebrae rotate away around an axis that is localized posterior to the spine. The distance between this axis and the spine is minimal at the apex and increases gradually to the neutral zones. The vertebral axial rotation is accompanied by smaller amounts of intravertebral rotation and local mechanical torsion, which increases toward the apical region. The altered morphology and alignment are important for a better understanding of the 3D pathoanatomical development of AIS and better therapeutic planning for bracing and surgical intervention.
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Introduction

Despite many years of dedicated research into its cause, no single etiological mechanism has been established for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) [1, 2]. Typical coronal radiographs of AIS patients include a lateral curvature of the spine, as well as vertebral rotation. One of its characteristics is that the apical vertebrae rotate away from the midline in a complex three-dimensional (3D) pattern [3, 4]. The rotation of the vertebrae is part of the—to a large extent—unknown pathogenetic mechanism that leads to scoliosis. Earlier anatomical studies have looked at the longitudinal rotational axis of the normal spine, which is mostly located within the confines of the vertebral body; however, the results were contradicting and the exact position of the rotation axis, as well as the rotation pattern remains unclear [3, 5–17]. Insight in the rotation mechanism of the different vertebrae within the curve and whether the rotation is mainly local (located within the vertebra: intravertebral axial rotation and local mechanical torsion), or a rotation of the whole segment (vertebral axial rotation) are important to help understand possible mechanisms of scoliosis development and a better description of the altered anatomy. Additionally, this knowledge could give more insights in the treatment of scoliosis, since reduction and restoration of the rotational deformity is one of the treatment goals. Therefore, the objective of this study is to systematically (according to the Scoliosis Research Society 3D Terminology of Spinal Deformity [18]) define all different extra- and intravertebral patterns of spinal rotation in the axial plane in idiopathic scoliosis patients: the vertebral axial rotation and the rotation radius, as well as the intravertebral axial rotation (the rotation between the vertebral body and posterior elements) and the local mechanical torsion (between the upper and lower endplate).

Materials and methods

Study population

This study has been approved by the local research ethics committees. Computed tomography (CT) scans of AIS patients were selected from an existing database, acquired for spinal navigation during posterior scoliosis surgery between 2011 and 2014, to establish the longitudinal rotation axis around which individual vertebrae rotate, as well as the various extra- and intravertebral rotation patterns of the thoracic AIS curve [19]. All cases that were diagnosed with a primary thoracic (Lenke curve type 1–4) right-convex scoliosis were included [20]. The complete preoperative workup consisted of standing posterior–anterior and lateral radiographs and supine bending radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging for screening of neural axis abnormalities, and CT imaging for navigation purposes. CT imaging (slice thickness of 0.625 mm, in-plane resolution of 0.352 mm/pixel, 64 Slice Multidetector CT scanner, GE Healthcare, Chalfont, St. Giles, UK) was acquired in prone position, that was the standard workup in one of the medical centers during the inclusion period. No additional imaging was made for research purposes. Children with spinal pathology other than AIS, incomplete workup, or previous spinal surgery were excluded. In order to maximize homogeneity of the study population and power of the analyses, only curves with the most prevalent apical levels (between T8 and T10) were included [21]. Demographics and basic curve characteristics were determined by one observer (Table 1).

| Age (years) | Range 10–26 | AIS (n = 70) |
| Girls, n (%) | Mean ± SD 16.3 ± 3.0 |
| Thoracic curve convexity, n (%) | Right convex 70 (100%) |
| Cobb angle primary thoracic curve (°) | Range 46–109 |
| Thoracic kyphosis (°) | Mean ± SD 68.8 ± 12.4 |
| Lenke type | Range − 5 to 45 |
| Apex level primary thoracic curve | Thoracic 8 18 (26%) |

SD standard deviation
First, the observer drew a contour around the vertebral endplates and spinal canal which was corrected for coronal and sagittal tilt (I), to reconstruct the true axial sections. The software calculated a centroid of the vertebral body and spinal canal and reconstructed a vertebral axis through these centroids (II). The intersections between this axis and the contours of the vertebral body create the anterior and posterior points (II, III). Next the observer segmented the lamina midpoint and the tip of the spinous process (III).
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The orientation of the upper and lower endplate of each individual vertebra of the computed tomography scans was determined by using the semiautomatic software, correcting for coronal and sagittal tilt (I), to reconstruct the true axial sections. The observer drew a contour around the vertebral body and spinal canal (II). The software calculated a centroid of the vertebral body and spinal canal and reconstructed a vertebral axis through these centroids (II). The intersections between this axis and the contours of the vertebral body create the anterior and posterior points (II, III). Next the observer segmented the lamina midpoint and the tip of the spinous process (III).

Second, based on the contour drawn by the observer, the software calculated a centroid of the vertebral body and spinal canal in 3D, taking account of angulation and displacement of each individual level in the coronal and sagittal plane (Fig. 1). Third, a vertebral axis was automatically reconstructed through the centroid of the vertebral body and spinal canal in the axial plane. Fourth, the intersection of the vertebral axis and the contours of the vertebral endplate created automatically an anterior and posterior point of the vertebral endplate and the observer segmented the lamina midpoint and the tip of the spinous process (III).

![Fig. 2](image)

The center of rotation of the vertebra was defined as the intersection between the vertebral axis (1) and the sacral axis (2). The vertebral axial rotation was defined as the angle between these axes (3). The longitudinal rotation axis (4) was defined as the intersection between the vertebral axis and the sacral axis and the rotation radius (5) as the distance between the longitudinal rotation axis and the centroid of the spinal canal. A line was reconstructed between the anterior (A) and posterior (P) points of the vertebra, as well as between the lamina (L) and spinous process (S) points (II). The angle between these lines defined the intravertebral axial rotation (6). Last, the local mechanical torsion was defined as the difference in rotation between the upper and lower endplate of the vertebra (7).
process as well (Fig. 1). The vertebral axis of the sacral endplate, which was considered to have no rotation or translation in thoracic scoliosis, was used as the reference plane for the vertebral axial rotation (Fig. 2) [24, 25]. Since the longitudinal rotational axis moves farther from the spine in less rotated vertebrae, it is difficult to accurately determine the true neutral vertebrae. Therefore, this parameter was compared between the apical segment, one and two levels above (apex+1, apex+2) and below (apex−1, apex−2) the apex.

**Outcome parameters**

The rotation radius was defined as the linear distance between the longitudinal rotation axis (the axis from which the vertebral bodies rotates away from the midline) to the center of the spinal canal of that corresponding vertebra (Figs. 1, 2). The vertebral axial rotation was measured as the angle between the vertebral axis (through the center of the vertebral body and spinal canal) and the sacral axis in the axial plane (Fig. 2). Next, the intravertebral axial rotation (between the vertebral body and posterior elements) was measured (Fig. 2). Last, the local mechanical torsion of the vertebral bodies was defined as the difference in axial rotation of the upper and lower endplate of the same vertebra (Fig. 2; Table 2).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics were computed providing means, ranges, and standard deviations. Differences between different levels at, above and under the apex were analyzed with a one-way repeated measured analysis of variances (ANOVA) added with a post hoc pairwise comparison between each level (without contrast), using Bonferroni correction. The statistical significance level was set at 0.05 for all analyses. Statistical analyses were performed in terms of intra- and interobserver variability, which were obtained as intraclass correlation coefficients for the rotation radius and intravertebral axial rotation; two observers independently analyzed a randomly selected subset of 10 CT scans. The reliability of the vertebral axial rotation and the local mechanical torsion were measured in a previous study; intraclass correlation coefficients for intra- and interobserver reliability were 0.92 (95% CI 0.82–0.97) and 0.89 (0.74–0.95) [23].

**Results**

The database consisted of 98 CT scans, and 28 were excluded (12 had associated congenital or neuromuscular pathologies, 11 had incomplete radiological charts, four had an atypical left convex thoracic curve, and one had undergone scoliosis surgery prior to obtaining the CT scan); thus a total of 70 AIS patients were included, with an average age of 16.3 ± 3.0 years (range 10–26). Coronal Cobb angle of the main thoracic curve varied between 46° and 109°, and other demographics are shown in Table 1 [19].

**Longitudinal rotation axis and vertebral axial rotation**

The longitudinal rotation axis (mean ± SD) of the apex was on average 11.5 ± 5.1 cm posterior to the centroid of the spinal canal of the apex. The radius length was smallest at the apical levels and increased further away from the apex (apex+2 15.8 ± 8.5 cm, apex+1 12.4 ± 5.8 cm, apex−1 12.2 ± 5.8 cm, apex−2 14.2 ± 8.2 cm). Repeated-measures ANOVA determined that the radius differed between the levels \( F(157.7, 2.3) = 11.4, p < 0.001 \) (Fig. 3). The vertebral axial rotation was largest at the apex (21.9° ± 7.4°) and decreased toward the neutral zone (apex+2 14.2° ± 6.9°, apex+1 19.5° ± 7.1°, apex−1 20.2° ± 7.5°, apex−2 15.3° ± 8.0°). Repeated-measures ANOVA: \( F(104.5, 1.5) = 61.4, p < 0.001 \) (Fig. 4).

### Table 2 Definitions of the outcome parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Number in Fig. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertebral axis</td>
<td>Axis through the center of the vertebral body and spinal canal in the axial plane</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacral axis</td>
<td>Vertebral axis of the sacral endplate (neutral)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertebral axial rotation</td>
<td>Angle between the vertebral axis and the sacral axis in the axial plane</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal rotation axis</td>
<td>Axis from which the vertebral bodies rotates away from the midline; the intersection between the vertebral axis and the sacral axis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation radius</td>
<td>Linear distance between the longitudinal rotation axis to the center of the spinal canal of that corresponding vertebra</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravertebral axial rotation</td>
<td>Rotation between the vertebral body and posterior elements</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local mechanical torsion</td>
<td>Difference in axial rotation of the upper and lower endplate of the same vertebra</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Intravertebral axial rotation and local mechanical torsion

The angle between the vertebral bodies and posterior elements (intravertebral axial rotation) was largest at the apex (8.7° ± 13.5°) and decreased further away from the apex (apex+2 1.1° ± 8.4°, apex+1: 5.4° ± 10.2°, apex−1: 3.7° ± 8.8°, apex−2 1.8° ± 8.8°). Repeated-measures ANOVA determined that the intravertebral axial rotation differed between the levels ($F(233.5, 3.4) = 7.5$, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 5). The local mechanical torsion differed between the different levels of the curve (apex+2 3.3° ± 2.4°, apex+1 2.2° ± 1.7°, apex 3.0° ± 2.5°, apex−1 3.8° ± 2.5°, apex−2 3.2° ± 2.1°). Repeated-measures ANOVA: $F(276, 4) = 5.5$, $p < 0.001$ (Fig. 6).

Reliability

Intraclass correlation coefficients for intra- and interobserver reliabilities were 0.95 (95% CI 0.91–0.97) and 0.96 (0.93–0.98) for the rotation radius and 0.99 (0.97–1.00) and 0.97 (0.93–0.99) for the intravertebral axial rotation, respectively.

Discussion

The non-scoliotic spine is only slightly rotated in the axial plane, is coronally aligned without a curvature, and shows mild kyphotic and lordotic curvatures in the thoracic and sacral, respectively, the cervical and lumbar regions [22, 23]. In idiopathic scoliosis, due to a still unknown cause, each vertebra in the curve rotates away from its normal position. This rotation can be described by the location of the longitudinal rotation axis around which this occurs. Vertebral axial rotation has, to a large extent, been studied in vitro and also in non-scoliotic spines. Within these studies, the longitudinal rotation axis was found to be determined by the orientation of the facet joints and to lay mainly within the confines of the vertebra [5, 9–12, 15]. However, the position of the longitudinal rotation axis and the different extra- and intravertebral rotation patterns of the scoliotic spine are still unknown, while the treatment and most etiological concepts are largely based on rotation.

Our study showed the longitudinal rotation axis of the scoliotic apical vertebrae appeared to lie far dorsal from the spine itself, on average 11.5 cm and differed per level in the spine. If there is much vertebral axial rotation, as in the apical vertebral body, the longitudinal rotation axis becomes closer to the vertebra. In the less rotated vertebrae...
near the end of the curve, the intersection lies farther away. The longitudinal rotation axis of the different levels in the curve formed a parabolic shape in the sagittal plane (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the vertebral axial rotation was $21.9^\circ \pm 7.4^\circ$ at the apical vertebrae, and the intravertebral axial rotation and local mechanical torsion of the apex were $8.7^\circ \pm 13.5^\circ$ and $3.0^\circ \pm 2.5^\circ$. Analogous to the rotation axis, the axial rotation was largest at the apex and decreased toward the neutral zone. The larger rotation at the apex is in line with the increased 3D wedging and asymmetry of the apex, compared with the neutral zone, as described in previous studies [17, 23, 24, 26]. The rotation of the whole segment (axial rotation) is much larger than the rotation within the vertebra (intravertebral rotation). This difference contradicts an exaggerated asymmetrical bone growth as cause of the rotation, but a longitudinal study design is necessary for this purpose.

In contrast to the previous studies, the longitudinal axis of rotation of this study is based on the vertebral axial rotation, as well as the translation in the coronal plane. White and Panjabi were among the first to describe the longitudinal rotational axis. Based on their study, the axis was found to lay within the vertebral body [15]. Most other authors found this axis somewhere within the confines of the vertebra [9, 11, 12]. Others, including Nash and Moe and Molnar et al., demonstrated that the longitudinal rotation axis lies at the posterior side of the vertebral body, whereas Roaf and Lindahl observed it even more posteriorly (Roaf close to the tip of the spinous processes, and Lindahl at the tip of the transverse processes) [3, 5, 9, 14, 27]. The major limitation, however, is that all these rotational axes are based on non-scoliotic specimens, cadaveric spines, or models. Last decades, other authors described the vertebral rotation of the scoliotic spine using 2D or 3D imaging [17, 22, 28–31]. Smith et al. hypothesized that if there is a thoracic lordosis, instead of the normal thoracic kyphosis, the longitudinal rotation axis is located posteriorly to the spine, based on two human skeletons with scoliosis and an animal study [10]. Kotwicki et al. [16] observed an average angulation of $15.0^\circ$ between the vertebral body axis and spinous process axis. Additionally, Kotwicki et al. [16] described the direction of the rotation of the vertebra and spinous process, that is similar to the results of this study. The vertebral body is pushed away from the midline, whereas the spinous process is less pushed away from the midline, probably stabilized by the posterior ligaments, corresponding with the posterior located longitudinal rotation axis (Fig. 2). They described that the bone remodeling of the vertebral body and the spinous process deviation act, parallel in time, in opposite
direction, as an extension of the theory by Smith et al. [10] and Kotwicki and Napiontek [16]. Recently, Vavruch et al. [17] described the vertebral rotation and internal deformation. The asymmetry was observed in the apex, decreasing toward the neutral vertebra (the most cephalad vertebra below the apex of the major curve whose pedicles are symmetrically located within the radiographic silhouette of the vertebral body) [17].

Despite the detailed description of the vertebral rotation, the longitudinal rotation axis of the scoliotic spine and the different aspects of the rotation mechanism of the vertebrae within the curve were not described before. The present study confirms that, in scoliosis, unlike what is described for the normal spine, the longitudinal rotation axis consistently lies behind the spinal column and its position along the curve shows a parabolic distribution, implying that during the derotation procedure of the treatment, different strategies are necessary for the vertebrae along the curve. It is well known that the thoracic kyphosis of the normal anatomy is deformed in a thoracic lordosis in moderate to severe scoliosis [24, 32–36]. This mechanism influences partly the posterior position of the rotation axis as well. Additionally, further aspects of the rotation (vertebral axial rotation, intravertebral axial rotation and local mechanical torsion) were described to clarify the rotation mechanism of scoliosis, to help understand possible mechanisms of scoliosis development and treatments.

This study provides an estimation of the rotational axis of different levels inside the curve and the differences between the axial rotation of the vertebra and the intravertebral torsion. CT scanning is considered the gold standard for studying rotation in the scoliotic spine, but leads to limitations as well [37]. First, CT scans are not made upright, but in prone position. Previous studies have shown that both Cobb angle and vertebral rotation are influenced by body position [38–42]. The longitudinal rotational axis is likely to vary between different body positions as well. However, data on the longitudinal rotational axis of the vertebrae in the normal spine are usually not obtained upright either, and based on the mentioned comparative studies between different body positions, the observed phenomena in our present study probably only differ quantitatively, not qualitatively from an upright position. Second, for this study, an already existing CT database was used, acquired as part of the general preoperative workup for navigation-guided pedicle screw surgery in one of our institutions, resulting in a cross-sectional study design, including only severe AIS patients. A longitudinal study, including milder curves as well, might provide more insight into the rotation mechanism during the progression of the scoliosis, which hopefully could be done with future validated reliable non-ionizing radiation assessment such as ultrasound and others.

Conclusion

Axial rotational instability is an important factor in the pathomechanism of idiopathic scoliosis, but remained unknown to a large extent. This study showed the altered morphology and alignment which is important for a better understanding the 3D pathoanatomy and the development of AIS and could help in better therapeutic planning both for bracing and for surgical intervention. The key observation is that in severe idiopathic scoliosis the apex rotates away from its original position around a longitudinal rotation axis that is localized far posterior to the spine; the distance depends on the level of the vertebra in the spinal curve. The vertebral axial rotation is accompanied by a smaller amount of intravertebral axial rotation and local mechanical torsion, increasing toward the apical region.
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