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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for this interesting article which clearly represents a large body of work. I read it with interest. I would like to make the following comments:

1. Please highlight what is unique about this study, and what it adds to the existing literature. The discussion refers to other studies with similar findings in similar settings. Please can the authors emphasise the novelty of their contribution.

2. Please describe the aims of the study more clearly. The title refers to perceptions of factors affecting uptake of EIRs. The methods include interviews (well suited to examine perceptions), document review and review of electronic systems (arguably better suited at examining actual adoption, rather than people's perceptions). In the abstract, the results and discussion sections do not refer to perceptions, but to introduction and sustained adoption.

3. Please also clarify the methods used. It seems to me that this study was a framework analysis, looking for facilitators and barriers to the scale up of the EIR, across axes and domains of the MAPS toolkit?

4. Presenting so much work, across interviews and documentation reviews is challenging to the reader. Each MAPS subheading has a section on facilitators followed by a section on barriers. This could be more clearly signposted.
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