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Reviewer's report:

It's really disappointing to read that cardiovascular risk management is still poorly performed in our daily clinical practice.

With an original approach the investigators demonstrated this in their study about hyperlipidaemia. In my country we face the same problem, however, some areas perform very well.

I have some comments/remarks.

- Hyperlipidemia, is only one site of the coin, why was hypertension not taken into account?

- p 4: what were the selection criteria for the rheumatologists and PCPs?

- The sample size appears to be (too) low as indicated in the limitations section. There musts have been some sample size considerations, please incorporate these into the manuscript

- p 12, line 17/18: "There is a need for interventions", please give examples that were proven to be effective in other populations
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