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**Reviewer's report:**

Very thorough review of the differential and great description of the case. The figures enhance the quality of the content. A few minor suggestions related to organization:

1. Consider leading with the case description, eliminating the small background paragraph, and from the case transition into the "differential of retinal vasculitis," "Differential of mixed sclerotic..." followed by the specific diseases.

2. Pg 2, line 5, would replace "as well as" with "and." Grammatically, the term "both" precedes and it should read "both lytic/sclerotic bone lesions and retinal vasculitis."

3. The case description can be consolidated.
   - Would condense "review of systems" into 1-2 sentences and include in HPI.
   - Would include medications in HPI as current treatment for chest/sternal pain.
   - Family and allergies not necessary. Would delete.
   - Physical exam is rather specific. Would report the vitals as "Vital signs, including BMI, were normal."

4. Consider adding headers to the disease categories under the differential diagnoses descriptions (e.g., autoimmune, infection, etc)

5. Under TB, there is no mention of the the TB screening being negative to r/o. All the other sections state why that disease was eliminated (which was great).

6. Pg 17, line 2 needs sources added to support the treatment options.

7. Consider deleting Table 3. Everything except but inflammatory markers were unremarkable. All pertinent labs were addressed in text.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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