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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for the rebuttal letter. Although you have commented our comments, I do not agree with one specific answer:

We did not convert to MoM because…

Again the calculation of MoMs represents a standardized practice in obstetrics for a specific reason. It takes the level changes during the gestation into account. The example of T21 Screening and use of MoMs clearly illustrate that using MoMs results in increased detection rate (just one of many examples: DOI: 10.5582/bst.2018.01232). This implies the correction of MoMs for other factors such as ethnicity (example: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0182538). If the authors choose not to use MoMs they need to acknowledge this as a flaw of the study.
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