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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the careful revision of the manuscript. I find it much easier to understand the purpose, approach, and findings in this version of the paper.

I have one minor comment, the paper now states the following: "The data described here concern perceptions of research misbehaviours and thus extend the previous findings by describing which research misbehaviours impact the research climate and go further by exploring this in a qualitative focus group study."

This statement might need a slight tweak to make it accurate. The phrase "by describing which research misbehaviours impact the research climate" sounds like you will test research questions involving climate as a variable in this paper. But, I believe that you do not in this paper. I think that you are suggesting that the researchers' perceptions might help explain their views of the climate (perhaps reported elsewhere). But, you did not explicitly test the associations of their views to climate in a quantitative fashion in this paper?

It have misunderstood the statement, I am open to it staying as is, but suggest giving it a quick evaluation.
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