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Reviewer's report:

This is an engaging, informative and challenging work. It contributes order to a field that it is otherwise wanting. Its conclusions and recommendations are generally well-grounded.

The work is also clearly presented and most readable. I fell over only a couple of minor presentational errors, which will be picked up, I'm confident, in proof-reading.

I am not convinced of the veracity or the utility of the distinction between norms, values and virtues, so would challenge the importance that the authors place on the distinction. These three normative categories can readily be shown to be interchangeable in codes of conduct, as elsewhere. However, since that distinction is of no importance to the main argument developed on incommensurability, I don't see that it needs to be re-visited, unless the authors chose to do so. It stands in the work as a nice piece of an analysis, in any event.

It is a valuable piece of work that will, I believe, be accessible in its present form to interested scholars and researchers concerned with research integrity.
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