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Dear Editors

Good Practice for Conference Abstracts and Presentations: GPCAP (RIPR-D-18-00015)

The authors have done an admirably comprehensive job of addressing the peer reviewers' comments. I recommend the article for publication in Research Integrity and Peer Review.

Figure 1 in particular is a fantastic addition. In Line 117, an 'although' may still be needed - or perhaps the sentence could be split into two.

Thanks again for the opportunity to review this timely and worthwhile manuscript.

Yours sincerely,

Chris Winchester

Chief Executive Officer, Oxford PharmaGenesis
Associate Member, Green Templeton College, University of Oxford
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