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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript describes reporting of abstracts submitted to the AfriNEAD conference in 2017. The authors have addressed most of my previous comments and I believe the manuscript has much improved. I still have a few suggestions for minor edits.

1. There are still language errors and the manuscript thus needs language editing.

2. Including the paragraph on AfriNEAD is clarifying. However, I suggest to move it before the paragraph on the study aim.

3. As per my previous comments, please link the data extraction from to the text with a reference to the appendix/additional file.

4. Please report how many authors extracted data.

5. Thanks for including the absolute numbers in the results section. However, please check the following throughout the manuscript and in the abstract:

   a. Please include the denominator, as it is otherwise difficult to interpret the percentage.

   b. Please check the flow - where you say something like "More than half of all included abstracts..." I suggest to put the numbers in brackets e.g. "More than half of all included abstracts (32/54; 59%), were studies..."

   c. Where you include the number in the sentence, please check the flow and add the denominator where necessary e.g. "However, of abstracts reporting such information, 17/... (71%) reported thematic analysis."

   d. I suggest to round off the percentages throughout the manuscript.

6. I suggest that you refer to the "study findings" rather than "evidence" in the conclusion, as "evidence" is often used in connection with a body of evidence.

7. In the conclusion, the sentence: "Our finding establish that reporting evidence in conference abstracts should adequately address all relevant methodological issues as well as content of findings" is unclear. Please rephrase.
8. Please link table 4 to the appropriate section in the text.
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