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Reviewer's report:

In general, I believe this topic is timely to inform both conference organisers and authors about what is the accepted standard of reporting results in clear terms to effectively communicate outcomes to the audience. However, the following suggestions could make the paper strengthen.

INTRODUCTION

1. It is not clear if the authors were the same people who reviewed the conference papers. In some instances that is suggested (pg. 6 line 4) but in other instances it is unclear (page 5 line 51). It is important to clarify if you were part of the reviewers of the AfriNEAD 5th International conference abstracts or not.

2. I am concern about the ethical responsibility of the authors for this study and was wondering if IRB was sought for. I believe this information is relevant for this kind of study.

RESULTS

1. Please add the exact numbers (sample size) to the percentages. For example (page. 7 line 50), e.g. n(12.9%). Some of the reports do have to the numbers but other do not have. Please ensure that it is uniform.

DISCUSSION

Please do find literature to support your findings on page 11 line 6 and 7. It is very important.

CONCLUSION

The conclusion should go beyond mere summary of the findings to give insights into implications/suggestions to conference organisers about what to look out for in abstracts in order to get quality abstracts as well as what authors should note in reporting research results in abstracts.
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