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Reviewer's report:

This article is well written. The work done to evaluate the involvement through a survey has been well done. I am certain the authors have done involvement to the best of their abilities. A few years ago an article like this would have been extremely useful. However the findings are very common - researchers and patients need training and support to be involved, patients want feedback about their involvement and involvement in basic research is different to involvement in qualitative research. There weren't new insights in this paper - which is not a reason not to accept it. It is adding another confirmation of a large body of evidence that already reports on these findings.

Some findings are further advanced for example, recent work has been done to clarify exactly what feedback patents need following involvement. So some aspects of this paper seem a bit dated in not referring to such work.
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