Reviewer’s report

Title: Group based Video-conferencing for Adults with Depression: Findings from a user-led qualitative data analysis using Participatory Theme Elicitation

Version: 0 Date: 24 Jun 2019

Reviewer: Stephanie Howard Wilsher

Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is well-written and very interesting.

One thing that is not discussed in the methods of discussion is how any difference of opinion was resolved? There are bound to be differences about how quotes are grouped- was there good agreement?

I don't think the authors justified why use network analysis? Or what it added to the analysis.

Line 66 - how were the google hits for depression estimated?

Line 222 - so-researchers??

Ref 43 - Author citation and weblink - why not add it as the reviewers are not blinded?
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