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Reviewer's report:

This is a good article written in a straightforward manner that will, in my opinion, be of immediate interest to those active and interested in dementia issues but also of relevance to other fields grappling with the meaning and definition of empowerment.

I understand that the authors have already received feedback guiding them to bring the co-production methodology more to the fore in the text. Arguably there is still room to do this - e.g. in terms of noting in the discussion or elsewhere the challenges and opportunities of using this method, adherence to key principles etc. But personally, I feel they have got the balance about right to ensure this is still a paper about defining empowerment.

Nonetheless, the authors may find that they receive more comments and feedback on this element than their published definition.

The authors do not say how the definition developed could and should be disseminated and adopted as standard. Or what sort of research might be helpful to build on their conclusions: i.e. larger workshops; events conducted in other parts of the country, greater diversity.
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