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Reviewer's report:

We thank the authors for redrafting their article and responding to our comments. We believe the manuscript is much improved and should be published. We do not have any substantive comments but have made a few notes that could be corrected as the manuscript goes through the editorial process and proof-reading. We highlight them here to aid that process. We congratulate the authors on producing this paper in a second language.

- Abstract: Collaborator and users both still used. Needs to be consistent with text.
- Abstract methods: If data collection is now being described as ethnographic, the timescale should also be given as length of data collection is an important aspect of evaluating ethnographic approaches
- 40 "contributed"
- 41 "audio recordings" rather than sound recordings
- 80 "remedies" plural rather than "remedy"
- 188 - 189 is great, totally agree
- We like table 1
- 277 - 279 feels like it should be reported under methods section, not results
- 338-351 is good
- Line 405 - Marie named.
- 498-501 is good
- 549 an extra comma is present after "professional backgrounds, ."
- 632-635 We are not sure what this sentence is trying to say so suggest rephrasing it.
unnecessary section - PhD (widely understood). The other acronym used is TBI on line 355 but we suggest spelling out in text instead of using the acronym as it's not used elsewhere.
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