Author’s response to reviews

Title: Patient and Public Engagement in Integrated Knowledge Translation Research: Are we there yet?

Authors:

Davina Banner (bannerl@unbc.ca)
Marc Bains (marc@heartlife.ca)
Sandra Carroll (carroll@mcmaster.ca)
Damanpreet Kandola (Daman.Kandola@unbc.ca)
Danielle Rolfe (daniellerolfe@yahoo.ca)
Caroline Wong (caroline.wong@ceppp.ca)
Ian Graham (igraham@ohri.ca)

Version: 2 Date: 15 Jan 2019

Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor and reviewer(s),

Thank you for your constructive feedback on my previously revised manuscript. I have carefully reviewed the manuscripts and have revised the sections as requested. For ease, the new content revisions are in red text.

Revisions requested

1. Complexities of terminology of patient engagement too late: Thank you for your suggestions, we have now added this to page 5 as requested.

2. Contradictions around evidence deficits and benefits of patient engagement: Thank you for this suggestion. We have reviewed and revised these sections to allow for a more streamlined discussion of the strengths, gaps and opportunities for patient engagement.

3. Mockford and Brett references: We have removed the ‘more recently’ and have amended this section to accuracy reflect the timeline of these articles.
4. Inclusion of updated GRIPP2 checklist: Thank you, we have updated this in the text and reference list.

5. Reference numbering: Sincere apologies, something did go very wrong with the numbering following the previous revision. I have checked through the references in detail and have amended any errors. These are now complete and correct.

Thank you again for your guidance and support. I hope that you will see this manuscript as suitable for publication and of interest to the readership of the journal. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require anything further.

Best wishes

Davina