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Reviewer’s report:

This is an interesting and well written study.

As pointed out by the authors themselves, the main study limitation is the small sample size (11 stakeholders). Why was the sample size not larger?

In particular, why were there no minor stroke/ TIA carers specifically included in the consensus workshop?

As patients were not recruited through the NHS, I recognise that NHS Health Research Authority ethics was not required for this study. However, I am unclear as to why the university ethics was not obtained through a health related department or faculty.

Did the researchers consider conducting their study in partnership with the James Lind Alliance. Why was this not done?
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