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Reviewer's report:

Given the comments by previous reviewers, this research and the tool is welcomed by parents of children with disabilities. The authors make reference to "co-creation", which is important and I am concerned that there is too much emphasis on removing professionals from such studies. All parties are needed on co-creation and the role of professionals should be recognised and included.

My comments relate to line numbers however, there are several sets of numbers starting at 1, so I hope the authors can make sense of them.

Line 19 - should timed be changed to timely

Line 21-24 - could be reworded

line 12 - lack......of what?

line 13 - skills has been crossed out, however, knowledge is different to skills and ability = skills not knowledge.

line 24 - health literacy is assumed but parents may have difficulty using IT.

line 2 - Perhaps hard could be changed to difficult

line 6 - the language needs to be addressed
line 10 - some parents might prefer paper information and a better format to help navigate the map- please check the health literacy standard of language as this is often too high for most people to understand.

line 3 - answering should be changed to answer

Is family empowerment the same as health literacy?

line 23/4 - agreed but again health literacy is low due to the language at a level too high for comprehension by most people. This might also be a challenge when translating from one language to another.
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