Reviewer’s report

Title: Patient Involvement in Guidelines Remains Poor Five Years after Institute of Medicine Standards: Review of Guideline Methodologies

Version: 0 Date: 15 Jun 2017

Reviewer: Matthew Baker

Reviewer's report:

A useful study. As the authors state their methodology may result in an under estimate of PPI in guideline development. This is unlikely however to be very very significant and the study importantly draws attention to the lack of congruence between the prevailing PPI narrative and actual practice. The study points to the important benefits of PPI to guideline development and the failings in current practice to operationalise these benefits. This is the first study that I am aware of that has attempted to explore this area. Publication should promote further interest in this area which in turn may contribute to improvements in practice.
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