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Reviewer's report:

1. I liked the article a lot as it shows a clear understanding of the patient experience and the value of the patient input

2. Plain English Summary: This was very good, although the third bullet point was much less clear and understandable than the previous two bullet points.

3. In the background: I liked this section very much, although where the unique knowledge of the patient is mentioned, this needs to be made clearer, ie how does the patient have this unique knowledge, what is it that makes him/her an expert in their own condition and why there can be a chasm between what the patients feels they need and what the healthcare professional thinks the patient needs?

4. The information in the tables was very clear and concise as well as the summing up of the finding that followed.

5. The conclusions drawn were very interesting and I enjoyed reading this piece of research.
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