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Reviewer’s report:

An excellent article written with the authority of some of the leading lights behind the James Lind Alliance PSP methodology. It brings fresh and important data to bear on the perennial question of whether research funders are picking up on patient priorities for research.

I have no major compulsory revisions.

I have a minor essential revision which is that the language of the article is not always that easy to read and understand. The concluding paragraphs for instance often include very lengthy sentences. Some attention to the sentence structure and language might be worthwhile.

Level of interest: An exceptional article

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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