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MRC Framework for Complex Interventions
Please set your study within the MRC framework. The GENIE intervention sounds very interesting but how was it developed please? A logic model of how it is supposed to work would be very helpful.

Aims

Your aims need to be more clearly about feasibility. you want to measure feasibility in terms of what? what about recruitment and retention?

Study design

What is the relation of this study to the larger study please. Was this randomised study nested within a larger cohort? Please also clarify this in your study flow diagram

Outcomes

I note that the GENIE intervention works through personal network and support, improves engagement with resources and increases connections that support self-management. However, none of your outcomes measured these mediators.

statistical analysis

As your sample size is only 22 you are under-powered to perform theses statistical tests. I would just use descriptive statistics
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