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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to reviewers
Manuscript Ref No: PAFS-D-19-00186
Title of manuscript: A systematic score-card based approach to site assessment in preparation for Lassa fever vaccine clinical trials in affected countries.

Dear Editor,

We appreciate the opportunity of submitting our manuscript with your journal and the detailed peer review system. Our responses to the comments of the reviewers are hereby outlined below for your consideration. Thank you for your time.

Reviewers Comments

• Please review and check again the percentages on the Descriptive analysis page as they do not appear correct. Adding the denominator would help when it is not 44. For example, ’A total number of 14 sites (40%) were categorized as category A sites, 18 (41%) as category B, 3 (7%) as category C and 9 (20%) sites in category D’ - these percentages add up to 108% which is incorrect. 14/44 x 100 = 32% (not 40%).
Reply: Thanks. This has now been corrected in L189-L206

• Please check all percentages in the paper are correct
Reply: This has now been done. Thanks

Thank you,