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Reviewer's report:

Thank you to the authors for what I consider to be a very well written article, applying a health economic model suitably and clearly. My comments are all exceedingly minor. I believe the authors have dealt well with the previous reviewer's comments.

Minor:

- A little more background would be useful for those from elsewhere. For example - what does "low friction bedding" mean? We could look up the main study, but I think it would further polish this work just to explain how it compares to standard practise etc.

- CHEERS checklist: I note item 20b was marked as NA - is this really appropriate? Don't the authors consider the uncertainty in their work?

If CHEERS will be published, reference this in the main text, where the authors note reporting following it.

Pedantry:

L16: For a general audience, define what is meant by "lost"?

L17: "Low friction bedding" was not actually explained anywhere - could it be?

L49: "130,000" is used to begin the sentence; restructure, so it does not begin with a number

L53/54: I find the first clause in the sentence hard to parse

L56: Again, for the general audience - define "full thickness"?

L57: Insert comma in "1000" - eg "1,000"

L61: Again, define shearing for general audience?

L68: As with above - define "low friction bedding"

L78: Worth including costs briefly to give an order of magnitude?

L107: Make dash an em-dash
L148: "beddingwas"-&gt;"bedding was"

L208: Decapitalise "H" in health

L222: "standardbedding"-&gt;"standard bedding"

L226: Has "CrI" been spelt out anywhere?

L238: Double space before "The" at end of line

L241: Decapitalise "S"

L246: Capitalise "Appendix"

L263: For formality: "Aren't"-&gt;"are not"

L304-307: Don't think this captures all abbreviations used in the manuscript - eg RCT, NHS, CrI

L305: Remove brackets around NB - this format is used in the rest of the text.

Table 3: Make INB column a little wider to increase its readability and decrease height of table.

Figure 3: Rotate y-axis labels to increase readability? Decrease space at top of plot? Doesn't seem like it is really needed.
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