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Report on Paper PAFS-D-19-00174

This paper is presented in a form that is suitable for publication in a journal catering for pilot and feasibility studies. The results are presented in great detail and there is a thorough discussion. Could the authors consider reducing the text? This would encourage readers not to skip any parts. A task well done.

Specific Points:

Abstract: The abstract should start by saying that LIFE and gLIFE are falls prevention programmes. It only becomes clear that gLIFE is to do with falls in the penultimate sentence (Line 48).

Line 84: What is a young senior? Include actual ages.

Table 1: What is BCT?

Lines 269-272 These notes appear on Page 12. The text to which they refer are on Pages 9-10. Should they be moved?

Line 284: What study did they take part in? Bias? Relevance?

Line 325: "Was assessed using two data items". Table 3 lists 5 questions. Explain please. Table 3 appears to be a result. The numbers need explanation. What does a high or a low score mean.

Line 356: Should be perceive rather than perceived.

Line 358. Should be, I think, a high risk of falling.

Line 601: Should be currently being carried out.

Consort check list: Should be line number rather than page number.
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