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Reviewer's report:

Overall, a well written study that describes a novel nurse led e-health intervention in an understudied population. Some minor points to improve clarity below:

Introduction

page 6 - REVIVER Interventions. For clarity, it would be beneficial to include a line describing the reviver intervention further. i.e. they are nurse led video coaching interventions based on CBT and MI.

Methods

page 6 line 139. Remove as much as possible at end of sentence

page 7 line 154. Wording in this sentence 'explaining fatigue…' is slightly confusing. Please rephrase.

Page 10 REVIVER interventions. Please include what time period the 3-6 sessions will be delivered.

Also, how will fidelity of the intervention be assessed? This is an important consideration when using CBT / MI. Will the nurse coaches receive training? If so , please include here

Page 13 line 231. I was confused by the introduction of sample size here when you had spoken about data saturation and sample size previously on page 8. Consider moving this earlier. In addition, if you are sampling til data saturation for the patient section, would you not do purposive sampling rather than convenience?

Page 14 Table 3. I am curious why self efficacy will not be measured at baseline as this is one of your main targets for the intervention. Is this a typo?
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