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Reviewer's report:

I greatly enjoyed reading this well-written, interesting and useful mapping SR. I have a number of minor comments and queries:

- 87 articles were identified and a sub-set of 30 was purposively chosen for detailed scrutiny. Is there evidence of saturation in your analysis?

- Some sub-sets were not sampled such as: target population centred, others-normalisation process theory, efficiency, theory and evidence framing. Are the authors confident that important actions were not missed?

- A realist approach was used in this review but was not present in Table 1. However, you did find a project which identified programme theory, Ford, 2015 [55]

- In the identified need action, what about research prioritised and commissioned by the funder (including PPI) such as NIHR HTA programme?

- The study flow diagram is uninformative, does not add anything to the subsequent PRISMA diagram, and could be removed.

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
**Declaration of competing interests**
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal

Were you mentored through this peer review?

No