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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Drs. Lancaster, Thabane, and the BMC Pilot and Feasibility Studies Editorial Board,

Thank you for your review of our manuscript entitled “An electronic registry to improve adherence to active surveillance monitoring among men with prostate cancer at a safety-net hospital”. Below, I outline our responses to the editor’s comments and include the location of the revised text.

As mentioned in our previous letter, our study has multiple authors. None of us have any competing interests. We all meet the uniform criteria for authorship. All authors have seen and approved of the final revised manuscript and participated in design and/or execution of the study. The content of this manuscript has not been published or submitted elsewhere. Thank you for your consideration.

1. Please indicate in the title that this is a protocol for a pilot or feasibility study

Thank you for your suggestion. We have changed our title to the following to indicate that it is a protocol for a pilot study (Page 1, lines 1-2):

"An electronic registry to improve adherence to active surveillance monitoring among men with prostate cancer at a safety-net hospital: protocol for a pilot study"

2. For Table 2, please add the another column of objectives and match to ensure that each is matched one-on-one with the corresponding outcome.
We edited Table 2 in response to this comment (Table 2, attached).

Sincerely,

Urmimala Sarkar, MD, MPH (corresponding author)