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Reviewer’s report:

This is a well written paper which is of interest to PROM researchers and those managing patients with Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP). There are limitations to the findings, but the authors appropriately acknowledge these in the manuscript.

I have some minor suggestions for improvement.

1. The authors should apply consistent use of the plural for data throughout (i.e. data are). There are instances of both singular and plural use within the manuscript.

2. The abstract needs a little reworking. The results should mirror the methods and at present neither present a full picture of the manuscript as a whole. The methods for example do not present details of how the questionnaires were administered and what other information (for example demographic data) were collected from the patients. The methods describe feasibility outcomes (e.g. attrition rate, missing data etc), but only some of these data are presented in the abstract results. It would be useful to add that the limited sample size limits these results in the abstract conclusion.
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