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Reviewer's report:

Editor's comments:

Overall, the report seems well written. However, there are few details missing:

1) The criteria for success of feasibility seem unusually low for some feasibility outcomes. Some justification is required.

2) The sample size justification is missing. This needs to be based on the primary feasibility objective and corresponding outcome.

3) The description should include the analysis of feasibility outcomes and the reporting should include 95% CIs for the estimates.

4) The analysis for secondary objective "to assess the influence of time-dependent confounding variables, we used a random effects linear regression model" seems like beyond the scope of the study given the limited sample size. This should deleted.

5) Report the unit of Age is table 1.
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