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Reviewer's report:

Thanks to authors for their submission.

Abstract:

Method: First sentence is suitable for results section. No method of analysis was discussed. What results and effect estimate were reported not discussed. No criteria of success were discussed.

Results: How the sample size was calculated?

Main body:

Background:

Line 84: 'The aim of this feasibility study was to evaluate the efficacy of foot orthoses in reducing pain and improving function in individuals with PFOA'. But the authors said this was a feasibility trial for a larger trial.

Method: What were the criteria of success? How the missing data were handled?

Results: table 1: What were reported for continuous outcome?

This manuscript is not acceptable in the current form. I would recommend the authors to follow the consort statements for pilot and feasibility trials and prepare the manuscript accordingly.
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