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Reviewer's report:

I found that this was an interesting paper on the development of this video intervention for whiplash, and clearly showed the steps taken in the intervention development. I suggest a few minor edits to make your choices more understandable to the reader:

Background

Line 64-69 - Please could you explain in more detail the difference between extensive and intensive treatment - or use the same wording if they are in fact the same thing.

Methods

Line 120 - Why do participants have to be aged over 18? Is this a decision based on medical grounds (is whiplash in younger patients treated differently) or simply a pragmatic choice to avoid the ethical implications of working with those under 18?)

Line 194 - Why were the American and Canadian videos considered unsuitable for use in Denmark? What this simply due to the language barrier, or were there other reasons why these were not so relevant to a Danish audience? It might help to be clearer that you are not re-inventing the wheel.

Line 202 - Where there any challenges raised in providing video information rather than a patient being seen by a qualified clinician? Both in terms of safety (are people carrying out the exercises correctly), or from a more boundary work perspective of practitioners not wanting their role removed?

Line 244 - You explain in more detail in the discussion what you might have done differently to avoid having to re-record a lot of the dialogue etc, but it would be helpful to mention in the methods why it was not possible to record new material - was it just a matter of time/budget constraints?
Discussion:

It would be interesting for you to look forward slightly and discuss where you imagine patients viewing this video (if it would be in a hospital setting then where, and is there room space available in clinics to do this?) If participants are given a video to take home, are you planning future proof this by providing some form of online viewing/download, given that many people are moving away from owning DVD players.
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