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Reviewer's report:

The authors were responsive to the issues raised in the review. Issues of justification and clarification of study components have been improved significantly. Importantly, the manuscript emphasizes the feasibility of the intervention and methods components. There are still a couple of minor issues.

1. It is still unclear why treatment acceptability is not assessed directly rather than only being referred from factors such as retention and attendance. Why not ask patients what they think of the intervention and study procedures?

2. The use of the TAU rather than a time-and-attention control is somewhat justified, but the limitation section should note this as a limitation of any inference regarding clinical outcomes. Such factors should be useful in informing design decisions regarding a full scale efficacy trial.
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