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Reviewer’s report:

Page 7, Line 42: Define NSW

Page 10, Line 12: Consider defining what 27 means as far as the MiPrep tool score.

Page 13, Line 50: Preferences for teleconsultation, it appears the yes and not sure responses were grouped together. Is this the case? If so, why lump them together?

Page 14, Line 14: The first sentence in the paragraph is awkward grammatically, particularly with the placement of the word "about".

Page 17, Line 45: The word "be" is used twice and one needs to be deleted for it to make sense.

Why were only a subset of participants given a description of a teleconsultation? Bias to the answering.

How many scores needed to be prorated? A minor portion or the majority of your sample?

May want to clarify on how much data was imputed, more than 10% should be justified in some way.
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