Reviewer’s report

Title: Assessing the effectiveness of Dialkylcarbamoylchloride (DACC) coated post-operative dressings versus standard care in the prevention of Surgical Site Infection in clean or clean-contaminated, vascular surgery (the DRESSINg trial): study protocol for a pilot feasibility randomised controlled trial.

Version: 0 Date: 05 Sep 2018

Reviewer: M Alharbi

Reviewer's report:

Introduction - "DACC coated dressings are available on the open market in both the United Kingdom via the NHS supply chain, and the United States of America". Can you please provide a more detailed description of DACC?

Exclusion criteria - "Inability to give informed consent due to incapacity (as defined by the MCA 2005)". Could you please include a brief explanation about the MCA 2005 definition?

Methods - "Hair removal (clipping) and anaesthesia will be conducted according to local hospital policy". Could you please include a brief explanation about the hospital policy?

Methods - Can you provide a more detailed description of how 'Clinical Review of Wound' will be performed at day 30 post op, 3 months post op, and 3 months post op. also can you please include an explanation about the validity of SF-36, EQ-5D-3L and Hpa Surgical Wound Healing Post Discharge Questionnaire?
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