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Author’s response to reviews:

*In Table 5 some percentage change values still appear to be incorrect. The %Chg columns in Table 5 could be deleted from the table without detriment to the paper.

If a value increases from pre to post then the %Chg should also increase (be positive). If the value is negative but increases the sign again should be positive (eg. -0.5 to -0.1 is an increase).

Please check all values but particularly:

CON COWAT-ED (-204.5)

COG COWAT-G (-156), COWAT-A (-573.7), COWAT-ED (-60) as all are increasing between pre and post.

AER COWAT-G (decreasing so negative), COWAT-A and COWAT-ED are increasing.

AER+COG COWAT-G (please make -0.0 just 0.0), COWAT-ED (-0.2 to -0.2 should be zero change).
This has been corrected as suggested. Each \%Change column has been removed from tables. In the text, however, there are still references to percent improvement in relevant variables. All of the above mentioned changes in COWAT percent scores are no longer reported in the paper.

*It may help to present all figures in this table to 2 d.p.

-This has been corrected as suggested only in the tables. The residual of the numerical values presented in the manuscript have been left at 1 decimal place for brevity.