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Reviewer's report:

The limitations of the study (mainly the lack of a control group and small sample size) are well acknowledged.

However, I did find it a bit difficult to read. Where possible, I recommend the phrases to be shorter (i.e. Lines 88-92, 109-112, 112-117).

I recommend that it should be stated right from the beginning, in a clearer manner, which are the new outcomes proposed and which are the "classic" ones.

In the methods section I recommend to clearly state inclusion/exclusion criteria for the pilot study (not just regarding EDSS but all the criteria).

In the abstract, I recommend to state the clear endpoints for the new study, both the immunological ones and the clinical ones).

I recommend starting the discussion with three sub-titles: Immune response, Clinical - patient reported outcomes, and the third would be the relationship between the two.
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