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Page 1: Abstract: associated with life-threatening illness, should this be terminal illness?

Page 1: Abstract: 39 multidisciplinary hospices' members, should these be employees?

Page 3: Abstract: Line 29: Life-threatening illness or terminal illness?

Page 3: Abstract: Line 54: Members or employees?

Page 4: Background: Line 21: Sentence is difficult to understand

Page 5: Methods and Design: Line 33: Figure 1 not required twice

Page 7: Intervention Design: Line 32: After enquiring the experts, should this be after asking the experts?

Page 7: Intervention Design: Line 41: Table 2 not required twice

Page 9: The modeling phase: Line 13: take part of a QOL, should this be take part in a QOL expert panel?

Page 10: The exploratory trial: Line 18: Table 2 not required twice

Page 11: Sample size: Line 6: Why was 80% confidence interval used rather than more traditional 95% confidence interval?
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