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Reviewer’s report:

It's an interesting study, and of value, but it is unclear at what status the study is currently at. If it is at fase II then I would suggest to add information on this, or make it clear that this is about fase I. There is info that suggest fase II is the current, but the main text is written as if this is not the case.

The paper needs some revision of the language and there seems to be some problems with the references. Some are referenced not with numbers, but with naming, and some seems to be wrongly places e.g. reference for number 31 on page 10, which I believe should be 30 instead, but others could be there as well). Also the use of abbreviation should be used all the way through and not just some times (e.g. NWFP).

There is a lack of review of literature within the field. There are other studies looking at some of the same things. In this regards I would consider if you are working with VR or indeed Exergames/interactive games. In the last part there are starting to be quite some studies done.

It would greatly increase the value of the paper and the readability if there was a better description of the actual training the participants are going to do (maybe a picture of some kind as well).
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