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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary

1. You use the term CBT based resource in describing the tool and I would suggest you keep that language consistent throughout- eg; Under Aims, you describe it as a CBT resource where when you first describe it under The Living Life Resource is is a CBT-based life skills program

2. I was confused as to whether the participants will complete the program at home and/or office or just at the office as when you describe the resource you say if can be done at home and then when you discuss recruitment process you say the participants will complete in the office only

3. I was not clear on whether or not there would be any data on if the participants completed the modules individually or in a group setting, as both are listed as options
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