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**Reviewer's report:**

The title should be changed to Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease.

Remove " and further analysis done by SPSS version " from abstract.

Please add the total participants in the abstract.

The conclusion you have written in the abstract should totally cover by its result section.

Remove " This study is the first of its kind in Ethiopia. " at the end of introduction.

The aim of the study which is pointed out at the end of introduction should be clarify and direct. please rewrite it.

In order to improve your method section, you should provide the method of biochemical measures.

The method section should be organized. You have to have a outline when you want to elaborate the method.

It seems that you have a non-random sampling. Therefore, you should discuss the possibility of selection bias.

"Unsurprisingly" should be remove from result section.

the P value p=0.000 should be changed to P&lt;0.001.

What is the meaning of X in table 5?

The discussion is messy. You should first provide an outline for yourself and then start to write.

Tables 4-7 are not informative enough. I am confused when I study those tables. Besides, providing 95% confidence interval for each variable mean would be better approach when you want to compare with standard.

What would your logic to draw table 4?
Although you have mentioned that the normality of variables had been checked, All of variables in the tables are represented mean and SD.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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