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Author’s response to reviews:

We sincerely thank the Editorial team for raising these issues. We have addressed them in a point-to-point fashion and in the manuscript as follows:
1. While assessing your manuscript it was noticed that supplementary file 1 contained many indirect identifiers of study participants (i.e. age, location, gender, religion, etc.) However, it was also noticed that your Consent for publication statement details the participants as having provided consent for the publication of this work.

In your Consent for publication subsection, please provide further details as to whether the participants are fully aware that their potentially identifiable information is being published alongside this manuscript. Furthermore, please clarify the method in which participants provided consent for the publication of this data, whether in written or verbal form. Please note, consent for the publication of identifiable material must be obtained in written form. Please also note that proof of consent may be requested at any time by the Editor of the journal.

Response: This is now adjusted to reflect that the participants provided written consent to publish their information as long as their true identifiers were not revealed in the reports.

2. While assessing your manuscript we found several instances where the text displayed overlap with other previously published works, in particular:


Overlap with this previously published work was found mainly in lines 4 – 9 of page 14.

While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. We would therefore be grateful if you could reformulate the aforementioned section of your paper to resolve the overlap between your manuscript and other sources. Please ensure that, where relevant, these sources are also referenced as appropriate.

If this study uses methodology from a previously published work, please provide a summarizing statement in the methodology together with a citation to the original paper.

Response: We sincerely state that this was an oversight. We have since adjusted the section to reflect our ideas rather than the previous one.

3. In accordance with BMC submission guidelines, please ensure that all figures are uploaded as separate, individual files in the file inventory. Additionally, we kindly ask that you remove the titles and legends from these files. Figure titles and legends should be located in their own section labeled “Figure titles and legends” which is to be located directly after the References section.
For each figure, the following information should be provided:

- Figure number
- Short title of figure in sentence form
- Detailed legend

Response: The figure is now attached separately and information related to the figure including figure legend, provided.

4. As indicated in our submission guidelines, please include the following sections in your manuscript: Background, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion.

Response: This is now adjusted as per the requirements.