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28 April 2019
Kathmandu, Nepal

The Editor-in-Chief,
BMC Nutrition,

Dear Editor,

Thank you for the opportunity to revise the manuscript. Please accept herewith the revision of the following manuscript: "Determinants of stunting of children aged 0-59 months in Nepal: Findings from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey, 2006, 2011 and 2016".
We appreciate the valuable feedback from the reviewers, which helped us improve the manuscript. Please find below point by point response to the reviewer’s comments.

Sincerely,

Yours Sincerely,

Ramesh Prasad Adhikari, M. Phil (Corresponding Author)
Manisha Laxmi Shrestha, MPH
Ajay Acharya, MPH
Nawaraj Upadhaya, MSc. MA

rameshadhikaria@gmail.com
Padma Kanya Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu Nepal and
Research Manager, Helen Keller International, Lalitpur, Nepal

Reviewer's report:

Line 151-152: please read and check this sentence:

Furthermore, the more children living in a single household, the higher the likelihood of stunting. For instance, in 2016, the prevalence of stunting was 28% in single child households and 46.2% in households with 3 or more children.
Responses: Now the sentence is restructure

“Furthermore, the increases the number children living in a household also increase the likelihood of stunting. For instance, in 2016, the prevalence of stunting was 28% in single child households compared with 46.2% for the households with 3 or more children”.

Reviewer's report:

Table 2:

This table is difficult to understand. In this form the percentages seem to be incorrect. Please check carefully. Probably a more detailed legend can help to understand how data are presented.

Responses: Thank you very much for your suggestions. Now we revised the both table one and table two with including the separate column for N in each survey. I hope after this revision; the table is more reader friendly. Regarding the percentage, we again check all the results and make minor adjustment. Now, we ensure that the data presented in all tables are in error free.