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Reviewer's report:

The minor changes conducted by the authors have clarified aspects of this manuscript. A few minor issues remain.

Abstract

Line 14: Pearson correlation is listed as one statistical test used. Where are the results of these analyses?

Methods

It is not sufficient to simply write 'inferential statistics' in your analysis plan. Your methods should be detailed enough to enable replication of your study and you should therefore indicate a full list of which tests you used.

Results

Line 141: Table 1 (not 1.0).

Discussion

Paragraph one should include your key findings which you will then go on to discuss.

Line 202: change "foodsystem available" to "foodservice system available".

Line 221: add "as" after "such".
Conclusion

Line 242: add "of" after "lack".

Table 1: Title is not standalone and should be expanded eg to describe the patients - adult orthopaedic low cost.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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