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Reviewer's report:

Changes have been made in response to my recommendations (reviewer 1).

I support the recommendations of reviewer 2 in the last round of review. However I feel some comments may not have been adequately addressed. The author should be guided by reviewer 2 as to whether further changes are necessary to address their previous recommendations.

e.g. 'there is insufficient detail on the food service system at this hospital. ' - author did not expand and give more detail.

e.g. ' in the discussion the authors make comparison with literature but do not adequately acknowledge the significant differences between this food service and that in other settings eg Greece.' - author reports that this was not the study outcome. While this is true, the author is making comparisons with satisfaction in Zambia and other countries, but this satisfaction level is likely to be associated with the menu, quality of the food, system of ordering/distribution in that country, and these elements of foodservice DO differ across countries.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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