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Reviewer's report:

The authors have presented their findings in a well-written manuscript on the topic of patient-centered nutritional support in cystic fibrosis, which they are correct to point out has a paucity of data in the literature. As such, this paper provides some early direction for further study, but is hampered primarily by the small sample size. While they acknowledge this in the discussion section, the differences in responses to some questions seen between the genders and BMI groups, for example, may very well be significant but were not statistically so, due to the study being underpowered to find such differences. I do acknowledge that this was not to be answered with the initial design of this QI project, but feel it warrants specific mention in the discussion, as this could direct future studies.

Page 7, line 20: should read "most common mutation" not "most common genotype"

In table 1, there is no explanation for why only 65 patients are in some categories. While it is easily imaginable that CFRD status was unknown on a patient due to their not having done appropriate testing, knowing a patient's insurance and whether they have a PEG should be readily knowable.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics
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