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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have made significant improvements on the paper based on previous comments. The current version reads better and the conclusions arrived are acceptable. This reviewer appreciates the authors decision to remove the prevalence of adequacy estimations from the result section since these were based on a single day recall data. The authors now present average intake of micronutrients from CIC based on a single day recall (Table 5). I would, however, wonder if simply putting the average group intake of various nutrients would mean anything to readers, with no reference as to where exactly these intake levels fall. I would present RNI and/or %RNI for each nutrient (e.g. are the group intakes 50%, 70%...100% of the RNI for the specific age/sex?). RNI exist for 12-13 month age group but the authors may regroup the younger age groups based RNI recommendation. This provides some reference as to where the average intakes fall.

The authors could also compare group intakes between female and male children (which could tell if boys and girls are fed differently?) or groups intakes could be compared across other categorical variable that may affect amount of tablespoons of CIC consumed (other than child age of course).

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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