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To: BMC Nutrition editorial (reviewers)

Subject:- response to Reviewer reports manuscript research article entitled as “Magnitude and factors associated with bottle feeding practice and intention among mothers of 0-23 months infants and children in Holeta town, Central Ethiopia: Cross-sectional study design.

Dear Sir/Ma’am

Thank you very much for reviewing our manuscript. We also appreciate the reviewers for their comments and suggestion. We have carried out the correction based on reviewers comments and revised the manuscript accordingly.

Please find the attached point by point response to reviewers concerns.

Thank you

Sincerely
Reviewers 1

We appreciate all the comments and this are comments or question which we think that it needs response and other comments were corrected throughout the manuscript accordingly.

1. Why did the authors use 50% proportion yet there is a known magnitude of bottle feeding in the same region of 38%?

Response: - As suggested by the reviewers the prevalence bottle feeding is known in same area of the region. The authors used 50% proportion (for the objective to determine intention) by calculating sample size for each objectives and largest sample size was taken.

Reviewer 2

1. How was the information on bottle feeding measured?

Response: - The information on bottle feeding was assessed weather the respondents know about the recommendation on bottle feeding by asking “is bottle feeding recommended for infant and young child feeding”? 