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Author’s response to reviews:

PHAT-D-19-00254R1

Comparison of metamizole and paracetamol effects on colonic anastomosis and fibroblast activities in Wistar rats

Eko Purnomo, MD, PhD; Dwi Aris Agung Nugrahaningsih, MD, MSc, PhD; Nunik Agustriani, MD; . Gunadi, MD, PhD

BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology

Dear Maire Clayton

Our manuscript "Comparison of metamizole and paracetamol effects on colonic anastomosis and fibroblast activities in Wistar rats" (PHAT-D-19-00254) has been revised based on editor’s suggestions. We have responded all the questions and suggestions by overwriting the point by point. We really appreciate all those suggestions for this manuscript. We believe it would improve the manuscript and may allow a revised version to be published in BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology.

Best regards,

Dr. Dwi Aris Agung Nugrahaningsih, MSc., PhD
Editor’s comments:

Your manuscript "Comparison of metamizole and paracetamol effects on colonic anastomosis and fibroblast activities in Wistar rats" (PHAT-D-19-00254R1) has been assessed by our reviewers. Based on these reports, and my own assessment as Editor, I am pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology, once you have carried out some essential revisions.

1. Please add the author’s (Gunadi) full name to the title page.

Response: We would like to inform you that Dr. Gunadi only has his last name as Gunadi. Therefore, we only put his name as Gunadi.

2. In the Methods section of your manuscript, please provide extensive, explicit details regarding the euthanization of study animals. Please provide full details regarding the time relative to the study which animals were sacrificed; the anesthesia used (if applicable), along with volume and concentration; and the ultimate method of euthanization.

Response: We really appreciate the editor’s suggestion. We have provide full information regarding time relative to the study which animals were sacrificed, anesthesia agent and dosage, and method of euthanization. We have added this information in the methods section.

3. In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Response: We have described the role of funding in our research process in the funding section.

4. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: We really thanks about the reviewing process. We have uploaded all the documents based on editor’s suggestion.