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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

We made the changes that the reviewers recommended, and also english editing.

Sincerely,,

Reviewer Giovanni Dothel:

Background section,
Line 12, the suggested change done as “Therefore, a current shared hypothesis suggests antioxidant drugs”

Line 19, “against I/R liver damage” moved to line 17 after "effect of Liv-52" as suggested.
Line 41, "reviewed" written instesad of scan as suggested.
Methodology section,
Chemicals, Line 17, the company's city specified as “Ulagay, Istanbul, Turkey” and d capitalized,
Line 27, liver ischemia/ reperfusion changed as liver ischemia/reperfusion
Experimental procedure Line 44, corrected as “Also, 100 mg/kg Liv-52 studied for isoniazid and rifampicin”
Line 54, corrected as “when the animals were motionless”
Line 17, “Oxidant/ antioxidant” changed as “Oxidant/antioxidant”.
Biochemical measurements line 36 “thio barbituric” changed as “thiobarbituric” also in
Malondialdehyde analysis line 17
In statistical analyses line 1 spaces deleted. Line 2 “have been” changed as “was”
Results, Line 27 “suppressed with” changed as “was suppressed by”
Line 39, “seen” changed as “showed”.
Line 44,53,58 “group” deleted, line 46, 51,53, 58, 2, 10, 17, 29, 31, 36, 41 “healthy group” changed as
“HG”
Line 22 “is” changed as ”was”.
Histopathological results, Line 51 “As shown” added before “In “ and “healthy group displayed” added before “normal”
Line 53, “healthy group are seen” erased and “Conversely, “ added, “showed” added after “the I/R applied group”
Line 58, “observed” erased. Line 1 “is” changes as “was”.
Discussion line 10 “cause” changed as “causes”
Line 17 “featured” changed as “features of”
Line 19 “has” changed as “have”
Line 22 “has” changed as ”exerts a” and “on “ erased and a comma added.
Line 17, “situation” changed as “condition”
Line 51, “previously” added before “reported” and the term erased after “reported”
Line 1, “Previous studies showed” added instead of “In literature, it has been shown”
Line 12 “histopathologically” erased.
Conclusion line 34, “It has been understood that”, line 36, 39 “had” erased
Line 39, “this disequilibrium as well as” added after prevented, and the sentence after “hepatic dysfunction” erased.
Line 44, “say” changed as “confirm”
Line 46, “potency” erased.