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Author’s response to reviews:

Point-by-point Response Letter to Reviewer #2

1. The supplementary material provided the authors' assessment by Naranjo score. The critical item is the item #4 (Did the adverse reaction appear when the drug was readministered?), which strongly impacts on final evaluation (+2 points). I understand that this score was assigned because the text stated that "During continuous ECG monitoring we noticed the patient's HR decreased to 35 bpm 15 minutes after administering the timolol eye drops". This should be considered as a potential non voluntarily rechallenge. It is important for a reader that the term "unintentional rechallenge" is mentioned here.

Response: We appreciate the insightful suggestions. In Table 1S, we have marked a symbol asterisk next to the score 2 and provided an annotation to state that “It is an unintentional rechallenge. Before the patient came to the hospital, he used timolol at home and had complete A-V block. After his HR increased and A-V block was attenuated by isoprenaline infusion, he used timolol again by himself and his HR decreased to 35 bpm 15 minutes later.”

2. Moreover, please provide relevant Naranjo classification as a footnote of table 1S.
Response: The Naranjo classification of probable ADR is added as a footnote to the Table 1S.